UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,694	01/19/2007	Adam John Walker	051922-408167	2909
	7590 02/17/201 SHUGHART PC	EXAMINER		
700 W. 47TH STREET SUITE 1000 KANSAS CITY, MO 64112-1802			MC GINTY, DOUGLAS J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/17/2011	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspt@polsinelli.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/599,694	WALKER, ADAM JOHN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	DOUGLAS MC GINTY	1765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  The ply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matte	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 57,58 and 62-70 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest signal of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest signal of the day of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and day of the day of the above claim(s) are subject to restriction and day of the day of the above claim(s) are subject to restriction and day of the day of the above claim(s) are subject to restriction and day of the above claim(s) are subject to restriction and day of the above claim(s) are subject to restriction and day of the above claim(s) are subject to restriction and day of the above claim(s) are subject to restriction and day of the above claim(s) are subject to restriction and day of the above claim(s) are subject to restriction and day of the above claim(s) are subject to restriction and day of the above claim(s) are subject to restriction and day of the above claim(s) are subject to restriction and day of the above claim(s) are subject to restriction and day of the above claim(s) are subject to restriction and day of the above claim(s) are subject to restriction and day of the above claim(s) are subject to restriction and day of the above claim(s) are subject to restriction and day of the above claim(s) are subject to restriction and day of the above claim(s) are subject to restriction and day of the above claim(s) are subject to restriction and day of the above claim(s) are subject to restriction and day of the above claim(s)	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correctable and the specific and the sp	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application 	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Status of Prosecution

The Petition to Revive under 37 CFR 1.137(b) has been granted.

The species N,N-dimethylethanolammonium formate has been elected. The pending claims are examined to the extent they read on that species.

The Claim Objections have been withdrawn.

The rejection under 35 USC 102/103 over CAS reg. no. 59101-30-3 has been withdrawn.

The rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rogier (US 2003/0232844) has been maintained and applied to the amended and newly added claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 57, 58, and 62-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rogier (US 2003/0232844).

Rogier teaches salts including, *inter alia*, a base (cation source) such as 2-dimethylaminoethanol (N,N-dimethylethanolamine) and acid (anion source) such as formic acid [0627]. Together, that base and acid form the salt dimethylethanolamine formate (N,N-dimethylethanolammonium formate). Rogier also does not require the presence of water [0623]. The salt may be made by conventional means such as reacting the base or acid [0627]. The reference teaches application of an enzyme such as a protease on a substrate [0030]. It is well known that the protease enzyme catalyzes a reaction with protein.

Rogier does not appear to expressly state that the composition is an ionic liquid, exemplify the salt dimethylethanolamine formate (N,N-dimethylethanolammonium formate), or teach a mp of  $< 25 \,^{\circ}$  C and a water content of < 1%.

Still, the present claims would have been obvious in view of the teachings of Rogier because it teaches both the cation and anion from a limited number of choices for use in compositions for applying an enzyme to a substrate. Obviousness only requires a reasonable expectation of success. *In re O'Farrell*, 853 F.2d 894, 904 (Fed. Cir. 1988). See also, MPEP 2143.02. Where the composition would have been obvious, the properties thereof would have been prima facie obvious as well. MPEP 2112.01.

## Response to Arguments

The Amendment and Response filed December 18, 2009 have been carefully considered and found to be persuasive in part. See the above Status of Prosecution.

With respect to the rejection under 35 USC 103 over Rogier, the applicants appear to argue that Rogier does not disclose or suggest using an ionic liquid as a solvent in an enzyme-catalyzed reaction, but rather, the reference merely discloses a metallomatrix protease as a type of anti-neoplastic agent. As discussed in the previous Office Action and repeated above, however, the reference teaches the use of proteases [0030]. The applicants do not appear to challenge the previous observation that it is well-known that the protease enzyme catalyzes a reaction with a protein.

The applicants assert that Rogier does not disclose or suggest using an ionic liquid such as N,N-dimethylethanolammonium formate as a solvent in a protease-

catalyzed reaction. Still, the reference teaches salts such as those made from a base (cation source) such as 2-dimethylaminoethanol (N,N-dimethylethanolamine) and acid (anion source) such as formic acid, among others [0627], which together form the salt dimethylethanolamine formate (N,N-dimethylethanolammonium formate). Thus, it would have been obvious to combine the cation and anion to form the salt of N,N-dimethylethanolammonium formate.

The applicants urge that the Rogier does not teach or suggest using ionic liquids as solvents. Nevertheless, the reference teaches the salts which may include dimethylethanolamine formate (N,N-dimethylethanolammonium formate). The salts may be part of a solution [0623, 0717]. Being the same as suggested in the prior art, the salt would have been expected to have the same properties, including that of being an "ionic liquid". MPEP 2112.01, II.

The applicants further argue that dependent claims 62-70 would have been novel and non-obvious for the same reasons as for independent claims 57 and 58. As discussed above, however, claims 57 and 58 have been found to be obvious.

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DOUGLAS MC GINTY whose telephone number is (571)272-1029. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 830-500.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.